Merci de ne rien inscrire dans cette zone et ne pas modifier les marges des pieds de page et entêtes.

Finite pattern of Barrovian metamorphic zones: Interplay between thermal reequilibration and post/peak deformation during continental collision

Pavla Štípská ^{1,2*}, Karel Schulmann ^{1,2}, Martin Racek ³, Jean Marc Lardeaux ^{4,2}, Bradley R. Hacker ⁵, Andrew R.C. Kylander-Clark ⁵, Robert Holder ⁵, Monika Košuličová ⁶

¹ Ecole et Observatoire des Sciences de la Terre, Institut de Physique du Globe de Strasbourg – CNRS UMR7516, Université de Strasbourg, 1 rue Blessig, F-67084, Strasbourg Cedex, France, +333 68 85 05 62, stipska@unistra.fr; ² Center for Lithospheric Research, Czech Geological Survey, 11821 Praha 1, Czech Republic; ³ Institute of Petrology and Structural Geology, Charles University in Prague, Albertov 6, Praha 2, 12800, Czech Republic; ⁴ Géoazur - UMR 7329, Université Sophia-Antipolis, 250 Rue A. Einstein, Sophia-Antipolis, 06560 Valbonne, France; ⁵ Department of Earth Science, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, United States; ⁶ Regional Geology of Crystalline Complexes Department, Czech Geological Survey, 11821 Praha 1, Czech Republic

The Barrovian inverted metamorphism of the Svratka dome developed within two nappes derived from the Brunia continent that was thrust beneath the Moldanubian orogenic root. The metamorphism increases from biotite-chlorite zone in the basement to very closely spaced staurolite, kyanite and sillimanite zones at the top of the nappe pile. The sequence of mineral growth, chemical zoning of garnet, and pseudosection modelling indicate prograde paths from 4.5 kbar/510 °C to 5.5 kbar/540 °C in the garnet zone, from 6 kbar/530 °C to 7 kbar/600 °C in the staurolite zone, and from 3.5 kbar/510 °C to 8.5 kbar/650 °C in the kyanite zone. The age of monazite inclusions in garnet and staurolite are interpreted to reflect prograde metamorphism at 338±7 Ma and 336±7 Ma, respectively. An older matrix monazite crystal is interpreted as dating prograde crystallization at 345±7 Ma, whereas a younger monazite group records recrystallization at/or down to 334±7 Ma. While these petrological and geochronological data are consistent with data from an inverted metamorphic sequence of the southern Thava dome, the spacing and distribution of metamorphic zones, nappe thicknesses, and late structures are different in the two domes. An antiformal stack of imbricated basement sheets and the extreme attenuation of metamorphic isograds at the top of the nappe pile in the Svratka dome is explained by a relatively cold overthrusting Moldanubian domain, formed mainly of middle orogenic crust. The homogeneous thickening of the hinterland-dipping basement duplexes and the regular spacing of metamorphic isograds in the Thaya dome are explained by a hot overriding Moldanubian domain, which in this region has a high proportion of exhumed lower orogenic crust and formed a hot midcrustal channel.

 $\textbf{Mots-Cl\'es:} \ \textbf{Bohemian Massif, Inverted Barrovian metamorphism, Monazite dating, Imbricated antiformal stack, pseudosection modelling, channel flow$